

## PERFORMANCE OF A NEW CANCELLOUS BONE EXPLANTS TISSUE CULTURE-LOADING SYSTEM

*Valentin DAVID<sup>1</sup>, Matthieu MARINO<sup>1</sup>, Alain GUIGNANDON<sup>1</sup>, Norbert LAROCHE<sup>1</sup>, David JONES<sup>2</sup>, Laurence VICO<sup>1</sup>*

*1 Lab Biologie du Tissu Osseux, INSERM 366, Faculté de Médecine, St Etienne, France,*

*2 Dept. Experimental Orthopaedics & Biomechanics, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Germany.*

**INTRODUCTION:** A new apparatus “ZETOS” that allows cultivating adult cancellous bone explants under controlled culture and loading environment is tested. Suitable culture conditions over a three week period were determined and the effects of a loading regimen were analyzed.

**METHODS** Bovine cylindrical (10mm diameter) bone biopsies from 3 sternums were precisely machined with a diamond trephine from 1cm thick bone slices, then strictly plan parallel surfaces were cut in order to obtain 5mm height disks ( $\pm 1\mu\text{m}$ ). These samples fitted in chambers of similar dimensions and were provided with culture medium (DMEM, 10% FCS, ascorbic acid  $10^{-8}$  M). We determined an optimal medium flow rate from the peristaltic pump at 6ml/h which ensured a uniform diffusion within the bone sample and fluorochrome labellings. Nine samples were immediately processed for histomorphometry and were used as basal control (B Ctr). Twenty six were maintained in culture over 3 weeks, 14 were loaded (L) at  $4000\mu\text{S}$ , 1Hz, 300 cycles per day and 12 were unloaded (U).

**RESULTS:** Bone mass and Young Modulus (E) were similar in B Ctr, L and U. Trabecular thickness was higher in L and U compared to B Ctr (20%). Bone formation parameters (osteoid parameters, mineral apposition rate and bone formation rate) were increased in L versus U (by 48, 30, 48%, respectively).

**DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS:** In conclusion, a 3-week culture period did not alter mechanical properties and osteoblastic cell reactivity. This model proved to be sensitive to loading conditions. The exercise-induced osteoblastic stimulation might lead to structural adaptation after longer experiments.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** We thank Marita Kratz, Eckhard Broeckmann and Torsten Pohl from the Dept. of Experimental Orthopaedics & Biomechanics (University of Marburg, Germany) for technical support.

This study was supported by the ERISTO (European Research In Space and Terrestrial Osteoporosis) group and INSERM (Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale).

*Table 1. Bone histologic parameters.*

Group	BV/TV (%)	Tb.N (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	Tb.Th ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Os/BS (%)	MAR ( $\mu\text{m}/\text{day}$ )	BFR/BS ( $\mu\text{m}^2/\mu\text{m}^3/\text{day}$ )
B Ctr.	13.4 $\pm$ 3.43	2.51 $\pm$ 0.38	67.95 $\pm$ 16.85	8.61 $\pm$ 1.65		
L	14.44 $\pm$ 2.3	2.26 $\pm$ 0.30	80.18 $\pm$ 13.42	14.38 $\pm$ 2.98	0.93 $\pm$ 0.17	1.17 $\pm$ 0.46
U	13.31 $\pm$ 2.10	1.68 $\pm$ 0.23	79.49 $\pm$ 8.12	9.72 $\pm$ 3.67	0.7 $\pm$ 0.17	0.79 $\pm$ 0.31